

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,101	12/07/2000	Werner Sobek		8961
7.	590 05/07/2002			
Felix J D'Ambrosio			EXAMINER	
Jones Tullar & Cooper PO Box 2266 Eads Station		TRAN A, P	TRAN A, PHI DIEU N	
Arlington, VA	22202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 .		Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— X I				
Office Action Summary		09/646,101	SOBEK ET AL.	()				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAI	LINC DATE of this are now in the	Phi D A	3637					
Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shet with the	correspondenc addr ss					
HE MAILING [- Extensions of time is after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for replication of the p	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period we in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication (135 U.S.C. 8 133)	ation.				
Status								
,—	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2002</u>							
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since thi closed in Disposition of Clai	s application is in condition for alloward accordance with the practice under Ems	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the meri 453 O.G. 213.	ts is				
4) Claim(s)	18-35 is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	1 <u>8-24</u> is/are rejected.							
	is/are objected to.							
_	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		,						
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b) \square objected to by the Exa	miner.					
1	may not request that any objection to the		- •					
	sed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
1	d, corrected drawings are required in repl							
	r declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowled	dgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
] Some * c) None of:							
	tified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	ies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure iched detailed Office action for a list o	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
	ment is made of a claim for domestic			ation)				
	anslation of the foreign language provi			auoii).				
15) Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)		-						
3) M Information Disclos	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No). 11				

i '

Election without traverse to further prosecute the specie of figures 1A, 1B, and 2 to claims 18-24 is hereby confirmed.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 3/24/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18- 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ennis(5463788).

Ennis (figures 1-3) shows a device having a shank (52), a cap (20, 18) connected to the shank having a membrane having tensile strength and low flexural strength, a circular base surface(each layer of the membrane forming a circular base surface), driving means (54, 28) for driving said membrane, wherein said membrane defining a position of rest wherein it droops limply around said shank (figure 3) and an open position wherein it assumes an essentially

Art Unit: 3637

horizontally position under the influence of centrifugal force generated due to the rotation of the

means being located at the head of the shank, the shank being fixed against relative rotation.

membrane by the driving means, the driving means being an electric motor(54), said driving

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

00511001001001

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788)

in view of Belanger (5127123).

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the means clamping the membrane to

the shank being two fixed disks.

Belanger (figure 6) shows two fixed disks clamping a membrane (35) to a shank(122).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify Ennis to show the means clamping the membrane to the shank being two

fixed disks because using two fixed disks to clamp the membrane to the shank would strongly

secure the membrane to the shank as taught by Belanger.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788).

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the motor being pneumatic.

Art Unit: 3637

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the motor being pneumatic because pneumatic, electrical, hydraulic motors are well-known drive means for rotating a shaft.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788) in view of Fromme (36834410.

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the energy supply for the driving means being received in said shank.

Fromme shows the energy supply for the driving means (24, 10) being received in the shank (14).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the energy supply for the driving means being received in said shank because routing an energy source through a tubular member to a driving means is well known in the art as it would enable nice, neat wire routing with the additional benefit of no wire entanglement with other surrounding moving structures.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show different rotating device.

Application/Control Number: 09/646101

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A April 25, 2002